

# Difficult Decisions | desirable criteria and options scoring workshop report of findings

April 2022

#### **Contents**



#### Introduction and background

Recruitment activity and registrant demographic profiling

#### Desirable criteria weighting event

- Demographic profiling
- Summary of findings

#### Options scoring workshop

- Demographic profiling
- Summary of findings

#### Introduction and background



- The Difficult Decisions programme looks at harmonising five policies/procedures across Staffordshire and Stokeon-Trent
- Two phases of engagement were completed in 2019 and 2021 to gather stakeholder feedback
- Following this engagement, two options appraisal events were held in March 2022
- This report summarises the findings from these events.

#### Desirable criteria workshop – 4 March 2022

Participants were asked to weight the desirable evaluation criteria

#### Options scoring workshop – 22 March 2022

 Participants were asked to evaluate the new proposals for each of the five policies/procedures against the agreed desirable criteria

#### **Procedures**



Assisted conception



Hearing loss in adults



Male and female sterilisation



Breast augmentation and reconstruction



Removal of excess skin following significant weight loss



### Recruitment activity

#### Recruitment activity



- Stakeholders were contacted by email and telephone to encourage them to register their interest for the workshops
- Respondents to the 2021 engagement who expressed interest in being informed about future engagement were also contacted
- Participants registered their interest by completing a survey which gathered the details of the procedures they were interested in as well as demographic information.



50
registrants for
Difficult
Decisions

Procedure	No. of registrants interested in this procedure
Assisted conception	15
Hearing aids for non-complex hearing loss	33
Male and female sterilisation	8
Breast augmentation and reconstruction	9
Removal of excess skin following significant weight loss	11

#### Stakeholders contacted



A range of community groups and organisations were contacted to promote the workshops. The following stakeholders, specific to each of the treatments/procedures were also contacted.

#### Assisted conception

- Stone Parent and Child Group
- Lots for Tots Staffordshire
- Staffordshire Fertility Network
- Care Fertility Tamworth
- Nurture Fertility
- Care Fertility
- Centre for Reproductive Medicine
- Hewitt Fertility

#### Hearing loss in adults

- RNID
- Deaflinks
- Deafvibe
- Hearing Impairment Team
- MPFT Sensory Team
- Specsavers
- Scrivens
- AQP Audiology Staffordshire – Outside Clinic
- Industrial Diagnostics Company
- Mediscan
- National Community Hearing Association

#### Male and female sterilisation

- Balance Street Surgery, Uttoxeter
- Brewood Medical Centre
- Abbey Surgery
- Audley Surgery
- Hartshill Surgery
- Midland Community Services
- Norfolk Street
- Trinity Medical

#### Breast augmentation and reconstruction

- Staffs Cancer LGBT
- Breast care nurses at UHNM
- Staffordshire Cancer Support Programme
- Breast Cancer Now
- Local Breast Surgery and Mastectomy Support Group
- TLC
- The Optimists
- Pinfold Pink
- Pink Sisters
- Terrible Titties Support Group

#### Removal of excess skin following significant weight loss

Everyone Health –
 Weight Management
 Service – group

#### Demographic profiling of registrants



#### **Ethnicity**



Age



Sex



Gender reassignment



Relationship status



 All registrants were White British.  36 (73%) registrants were aged 50 or over.  28 (56%) registrants were female. • 2 (4%) registrants were non-binary.

 4 (8%) registrants were gay or bisexual.

• 31 (63%) registrants were married.

#### Religion



Pregnancy



Maternity



Disability or long-term condition



Armed forces



Carer



• 29 (59%)
registrants were
Christian.

No registrants were pregnant.

 No registrants had recently given birth. 18 (38%)
 registrants had
 a disability /
 condition limiting
 day-to-day
 activities.

 3 (6%) registrants had served in the armed forces.  9 (18%) registrants were carers.

#### Participant geography: Registrants



	No.	%
Cannock Chase	2	4%
East Staffordshire	7	15%
Lichfield	1	2%
Newcastle-under-Lyme	1	2%
South Staffordshire	2	4%
Stafford	7	15%
Staffordshire Moorlands	4	8%
Stoke-on-Trent	9	19%
Tamworth	4	8%
Other	11	23%
Base	48	



# Desirable criteria weighting workshop

#### Demographic profiling: Desirable criteria workshop



In total, there were 16 participants at the desirable criteria workshop.

Ethnicity

#### Age

Sex



Gender reassignment |



Relationship status



 All participants were White British.

• 8 (57%) participants were aged 50 or over.

• 11 (79%) participants were female.  No participants were transgender.

13 (93%) participants were heterosexual. 10 (71%) participants were married.

Religion



Pregnancy



Maternity



Disability or long-term condition



Armed forces



Carer



• 9 (64%) participants were Christian  No participants were pregnant

 No participants had recently given birth

• 4 (29%) had a disability / condition limiting day-to-day activities.

 No participants had served in the armed forces

1 (7%) participant was a carer.

Please note, not all participants provided demographic profiling information. The base figure on the following tables indicates how many participants answered each question.

## **Geography and procedure(s) of interest: Desirable criteria**



	No.	%
Cannock Chase	1	8%
East Staffordshire	4	31%
Lichfield	-	-
Newcastle-under-Lyme	-	-
South Staffordshire	2	15%
Stafford	3	23%
Staffordshire Moorlands	-	-
Stoke-on-Trent	1	8%
Tamworth	-	-
Other	2	15%
Base	13	

Procedure	No. of participants interested in this procedure
Assisted conception	5
Hearing aids for non-complex hearing loss	10
Male and female sterilisation	2
Breast augmentation and reconstruction	2
Removal of excess skin following significant weight loss	4
Base	14

#### Desirable criteria scoring



- The desirable criteria were developed from the 2019 and 2021 engagement. These criteria are:
  - Impact on overall health and wellbeing
  - Clinical benefit
- Participants were asked to score these desirable criteria by allocating 100 points across the two criteria.
- Overall average ratings:
  - Impact on overall health and wellbeing: 48
  - Clinical benefit: 52

	Impact on overall health & wellbeing	Clinical benefit
Participant 1	70	30
Participant 2	50	50
Participant 3	40	60
Participant 4	20	80
Participant 5	55	45
Participant 6	40	60
Participant 7	50	50
Participant 8	70	30
Participant 9	60	40
Participant 10	40	60
Participant 11	25	75
Participant 12	60	40
Average	48	52

# Reasons for 'impact on overall health and wellbeing' weighting





Health and wellbeing is linked to mental health



Health and wellbeing is important but clinical benefit must be a priority



Clinical needs impact on health and wellbeing



Health and wellbeing has large impact on day-to-day life



Health and wellbeing should be the priority for patient-centred care



Consider impact of nontreatment on health (e.g. mental health)



More information is required (e.g. clear definitions)



Consider different weightings for the different treatments

#### Reasons for 'clinical benefit' weighting





Clinical benefit is important but can be subjective



Clinical benefit should be higher as this will help overall health and wellbeing



Need to consider health and wellbeing as well as urgent and emergency care



More information is required (e.g. clear definitions)



Treatments without clinical benefit should not be commissioned



Clinical problems such as infections should take priority



Consider the financial impact of decisions



Clinical benefits should be measured alongside other measures



## Options scoring workshop

#### Demographic profiling: Options scoring workshop



In total, there were 14 participants at the options scoring workshop.

**Ethnicity** 

Age



Sex



Gender reassignment | 1

• 11 (92%)

orientation

Sexual

Relationship status

 All participants were White British

9 (75%) participants were aged 50 or over.

8 (62%) participants were female.  No participants were transgender.

participants were heterosexual. 10 (83%) participants were married.

Religion



Pregnancy



Maternity



Disability or long-term condition



Armed forces



Carer



• 7 (58%) participants were Christian  No participants were pregnant

 No participants had recently given birth

• 5 (38%) had a disability / condition limiting day-to-day activities.

 No participants had served in the armed forces

1 (8%) participant was a carer.

Please note, not all participants provided demographic profiling information. The base figure on the following tables indicates how many participants answered each question.

# **Geography and procedure(s) of interest: Options scoring workshop**



	No.	%
Cannock Chase	-	-
East Staffordshire	3	27%
Lichfield	-	-
Newcastle-under-Lyme	-	-
South Staffordshire	1	9%
Stafford	2	18%
Staffordshire Moorlands	1	9%
Stoke-on-Trent	-	-
Tamworth	1	9%
Other	3	27%
Base	11	

Procedure	No. of participants interested in this procedure
Assisted conception	5
Hearing aids for non-complex hearing loss	8
Male and female sterilisation	1
Breast augmentation and reconstruction	1
Removal of excess skin following significant weight loss	4
Base	13



## Scoring: Assisted Conception

#### **Proposal scoring**



	Proposal 1 - Do not commission		the state of the s		Propo Comm investigat	ission	Proposal 3 - women age		Proposal 4 - women age		Proposal 5 women age	one cycle, ed up to 35
	Impact on overall health & wellbeing	Clinical benefit	Impact on overall health & wellbeing	Clinical benefit	Impact on overall health & wellbeing	Clinical benefit	Impact on overall health & wellbeing	Clinical benefit	Impact on overall health & wellbeing	Clinical benefit		
Participant 1	2	2	3	3	9	8	7	7	5	5		
Participant 2	2	2	2	4	8	7	7	6	6	5		
Participant 3	3	1	5	5	8	8	5	4	3	2		
Participant 4	1	1	4	4	8	9	5	5	4	5		
Participant 5	1	1	2	2	8	8	4	4	4	3		
Participant 6	2	2	9	9	7	7	2	2	1	1		
Participant 7	1	1	1	1	5	3	4	3	5	5		
Participant 8	1	1	1	1	9	8	4	4	2	2		
Participant 9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Participant 10	1	1	1	1	10	10	10	10	1	1		
Participant 11	1	1	1	1	10	10	7	6	2	2		
Total sub score	15	13	29	31	82	78	55	51	33	31		
Criterion weighting	48	52	48	52	48	52	48	52	48	52		
Total score available when weighting applied	720	676	1392	1612	3936	4056	2640	2652	1584	1612		
Total score per proposal after weighting	139	96	30	04	79	92	529	92	31	96		



### Scoring: Hearing loss in adults

#### **Proposal scoring**



	Proposal 1 - Commissio	n with eligibility criteria	Proposal 2 - Commission	with no eligibility criteria
	Impact on overall health & wellbeing	Clinical benefit	Impact on overall health & wellbeing	Clinical benefit
Participant 1	-	-	-	-
Participant 2	8	8	4	6
Participant 3	5	4	8	5
Participant 4	6	6	4	5
Participant 5	10	10	1	1
Participant 6	3	2	9	9
Participant 7	1	1	10	10
Participant 8	-	-	-	-
Participant 9	1	1	10	10
Participant 10	10	10	10	10
Participant 11	10	10	1	1
Total sub score	54	52	57	57
Criterion weighting	48	52	48	52
Total score available when weighting applied	2592	2704	2736	2964
Total score per proposal after weighting	52	96	57	00



# Scoring: Removal of excess skin following significant weight loss

#### **Proposal scoring**



	Abdominoplasty/ apronectomy: Proposal 1 - Do not commission		Abdominoplasty/ apronectomy: Proposal 2 - Commission with eligibility criteria		Body contouring: Proposal 1 - Do not commission		Body contouring: Proposal 2 - Commission with eligibility criteria	
	Impact on overall health & wellbeing	Clinical benefit	Impact on overall health & wellbeing	Clinical benefit	Impact on overall health & wellbeing	Clinical benefit	Impact on overall health & wellbeing	Clinical benefit
Participant 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Participant 2	1	2	8	7	-	-	-	-
Participant 3	1	1	6	6	1	2	7	7
Participant 4	3	5	5	7	1	1	7	6
Participant 5	1	1	10	10	1	1	8	10
Participant 6	3	4	7	6	3	2	6	6
Participant 7	5	7	8	8	2	2	2	-
Participant 8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Participant 9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Participant 10	1	10	5	10	1	1	10	10
Participant 11	1	1	10	10	1	1	10	10
Total sub score	16	31	59	64	10	10	50	49
Criterion weighting	48	52	48	52	48	52	48	52
Total score available when weighting applied	768	1612	2832	3328	480	520	2400	2548
Total score per proposal after weighting	238	30	616	60	100	00	494	18



# Scoring: Breast augmentation and reconstruction

#### **Proposal scoring**



		Proposal 2 - Commission against criteria (cancer and non-cancer)		Proposal 3 - Commission following mastectomy, after burns and prophylactic mastectomy		Proposal 4 - Commission following mastectomy in affected breast only			
	Impact on overall health & wellbeing	Clinical benefit	Impact on overall health & wellbeing	Clinical benefit	Impact on overall health & wellbeing	Clinical benefit	Impact on overall health & wellbeing	Clinical benefit	
Participant 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Participant 2	3	2	7	7	9	8	5	5	
Participant 3	1	1	8	8	10	7	8	8	
Participant 4	1	1	6	7	7	6	4	5	
Participant 5	1	1	10	10	5	4	5	4	
Participant 6	2	2	6	6	8	8	3	3	
Participant 7	1	1	7	8	8	8	7	5	
Participant 8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Participant 9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Participant 10	1	1	10	10	10	10	1	1	
Participant 11	1	1	10	10	1	1	1	1	
Total sub score	11	10	64	66	58	52	34	32	
Criterion weighting	48	52	48	52	48	52	48	52	
Total score available when weighting applied	528	520	3072	3432	2784	2704	1632	1664	
Total score per proposal after weighting	1048				04	5488		329	96



# Scoring: Male and female sterilisation

#### **Proposal scoring**



	Proposal 1 - Do not comr purp			on with eligibility criteria tive purposes
	Impact on overall health & wellbeing Clinical benefit		Impact on overall health & wellbeing	Clinical benefit
Participant 1	-	-	-	-
Participant 2	-	-	-	-
Participant 3	1	1	8	10
Participant 4	2	1	7	9
Participant 5	1	10	10	7
Participant 6	2	1	5	7
Participant 7	8	9	10	9
Participant 8	-	-	-	-
Participant 9	-	-	-	-
Participant 10	1	1	10	10
Participant 11	1	1	10	10
Total sub score	16	24	60	62
Criterion weighting	48	52	48	52
Total score available when weighting applied	768	1248	2880	3224
Total score per proposal after weighting	20	16	61	04